

NARBO Fourth General Meeting Makassar, Indonesia, 11 November 2010

Basins Adapting to Climate Change – Approaches to Managing Uncertainty



Charles Rodgers

Senior Environment Specialist (Climate Change Adaptation) Regional & Sustainable Development Department Asian Development Bank, Manila

Uncertainty and its Souces

- Scenario assumptions
 - drivers of change (population, technology, ...)
 - corresponding emissions trajectories
- Atmospheric sensitivity to GHG
- GCM specification (models vs reality)
- Initial conditions
- Regional climate (downscaling)
- Regional impacts (sectoral models)
- Shocks (volcanic eruptions, ...)



Total range of uncertainty (unknown)

Strategies for Managing Uncertainty

- *Resilient Strategies:* use measures that provide reasonable adaptation over a wide range of future conditions (including present)
- Adaptive (Iterative) Strategies: use measures that can be adjusted, corrected and modified as new information becomes available
- *Decision-Scaling:* determine vulnerability independent of likelihood; assess *plausibility*
- *Precautionary Strategies:* use measures that minimize the maximum harm (minimize risks of severe, low-probability scenarios)

Resilient Strategies

- No-regrets Strategies: generate net benefits independent of how, or whether climate change occurs (but benefits might be greater under CC!)
- Low Regrets strategies: for which climate change readiness can be introduced at low costs
- Win-win strategies: provide net benefits in other areas or sectors while also reducing vulnerability to climate change
- **Robust strategies:** can be demonstrated to perform acceptably well under a wide range of conditions – e.g. less specialization, more diversification

Resilient Strategies in the Water Sector

| Adaptation Measure | Regrets | Cost | Technical Difficulty | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Supply Side: | | | | | | | | |
| Diversification of sources | Low | High | Medium | | | | | |
| Construct additional storage | Medium-High | High | Medium | | | | | |
| Watershed management, source protection | Win-Win | Low | Low | | | | | |
| Advanced water treatment (recycling, desal) | Low | High | Medium | | | | | |
| Reduce non-revenue water | Low | Medium | Medium | | | | | |
| Demand Side: | | | | | | | | |
| Metering | Low | Low-Medium | Medium | | | | | |
| Low-use appliances | Low | Medium | Medium | | | | | |
| Consumer behavior change | Low | Low | Low | | | | | |

Incremental Strategy: Seattle Water Supply



Source: Paul Fleming, C40 Conference on Climate Change, Tokyo, Oct. 22, 2008

Decision Scaling: Irrigation in the Brantas

- 85,000 Ha irrigated area, 2-3 crops per year, reservoir supply
- Hypothetical temperature changes of +1, +2, +3, +4 °C
- Hypothetical rainfall changes of 0%, -5% (annual)
- Changes in runoff estimated using climatic water balance

Aridity Index:

$$\phi = \frac{ET_0}{P}$$

(ratio of potential annual evapotranspiration to annual precipitation)

Turc-Pike $\frac{E}{P} = F(\phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{1}{\phi}\right)^2}}$ (describes actual evapo-transpiration as a function of the AI)

Annual runoff:

$$Q = P - E$$

(runoff is annual precipitation less annual actual evapo-transpiration)

Results of Sensitivity Analysis

| | Reliability of Irrigation by | | | | | ation by |
|-------------|------------------------------|--------|------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Changes in: | | | Season | | | |
| Temperature | Rainfall | Runoff | Ir. Demand | Season 1 | Season 2 | Season 3 |
| Baseline | | | | 96.3% | 100.0% | 89.0% |
| +1 Deg. C | 0.0% | -1.8% | 2.6% | 96.3% | 100.0% | 81.7% |
| +2 Deg. C | 0.0% | -3.6% | 5.1% | 95.4% | 100.0% | 75.2% |
| +3 deg. C | 0.0% | -5.5% | 7.5% | 88.1% | 99.1% | 74.3% |
| +4 Deg.C | 0.0% | -7.4% | 10.0% | 86.2% | 99.1% | 67.0% |
| +1 Deg. C | -5.0% | -10.7% | 6.0% | 84.4% | 99.1% | 67.9% |
| +2 Deg. C | -5.0% | -12.5% | 8.4% | 83.5% | 96.3% | 59.6% |
| +3 deg. C | -5.0% | -14.4% | 10.9% | 74.3% | 95.4% | 51.4% |
| +4 Deg.C | -5.0% | -16.2% | 13.5% | 73.4% | 91.7% | 39.4% |

Assessing Plausibility of Difficult Scenarios



112 Projections, Statistically Downscaled CMIP3

Precautionary Strategy: Preparing for Drought

It is important to know "how bad things can get"
Models may not be able to provide realistic time series
Paleo-climate reconstruction used to plan for extreme drought



Critical Thresholds

Thresholds present special challenges to adaptation, since conditions for resilient strategies can be violated:

- Points of dramatic or qualitative system change
- Irreversibility
- Unpredictability

Examples of critical thresholds include:

- Freezing point of water
- Minimum Day/Night temperatures rice yields
- Minimum Night temperatures human survival
- Temperature thresholds for disease vectors (e.g. anopheles mosquito malaria parasite)
- Critical flood flows for levee-protected infrastructure



Thanks for your attention!



Questions?